

pursuant to firearms, explosives or incendiary devices rather than those three things plus a technique. So we limit the bill by taking the word "technique" out. We also on line 14 of page 3 change "persons" to "person" and "intend" to "intends" to bring the persons down to singular to make it clear that we are talking about the people who are involved in the assembly itself. And then, finally, we strike Section 3 of the bill because Section 3, as Senator Chambers and others have pointed out, really is superfluous language. The bill is probably better without Section 3. Our amendment strikes Section 3. The body, twenty minutes ago, voted to take Section 3 out. So that part of the amendment is simply surplusage but it is unnecessary to amend the amendment. With that explanation, if any of you have any questions, any of us who have worked on this would be pleased to try and answer them. Otherwise, we would ask the adoption of the amendment.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Chambers, please.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Chairman and members of the Legislature, oh, I'm in a good mood this morning. Oh, yes, Shepherd John, we are on 772 again. The reason I refer to John as Shepherd rather than Saint John, I had just said that once the body is told that they can vote for a proposition, they will vote for it. I didn't get a chance to speak a word on my amendment. People in this body didn't even know what my amendment consisted of, but John gave the high sign and he said, sheep, follow, and all of those sheep followed and voted without a dissenting vote. And if you turn to page 761 of the Journal, you will find that that identical amendment that I offered was rejected 24 to 5 by this same legislative body and that is why I made the reference of the dog returning to his vomit. Jesus had said that when a person gets all cleaned up and then he backslides to his former way of living that is like a dog returning to his vomit. Well, for a proposition to have been so abhorrent to the Legislature that they rejected it 24 to 5 is very similar to a dog returning to his vomit when they have to come back and shamefacedly accept without a dissenting vote that very item. Now I am going to gloat again. Senator Hoagland mentioned that he and Senator DeCamp and Senator Johnson, three lawyers, got together and a poor, innocent babe in the woods, Senator Chizek, and whoever else would be embraced in that other, drafted this amendment. They want to strike the word "technique"